AS 7739.1:2022



Digital engineering for fixed rail infrastructure Part 1: Concepts and principles



Infrastructure Standard





This Australian Standard[®] AS 7739 Digital Engineering for Rail – Part 1: Concepts and Principles was prepared by a Rail Industry Safety and Standards Board (RISSB) Development Group consisting of representatives from the following organizations:

| Unity Alliance | TfNSW | Cross River Rail |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| WSP | Lynnwood Consulting | Keolis Downer |
| Western Sydney University | KiwiRail | Cirrus Digital |
| Queensland Rail | PCSG Australia | BG&E |
| EIC Activities | UGL | KBR |
| Rail Projects Victoria | Aurecon | John Holland |
| HitachiRail | University of Technology Sydney | Metro Trains |
| CPB Contractors Pty Ltd | PTA WA | Laing O'Rourke |
| BuildingSMART | | |

The Standard was approved by the Development Group and the Infrastructure Standing Committee in November 2022. On 30 January 2023 the RISSB Board approved the Standard for release.

This standard was issued for public consultation and was independently validated before being approved. Development of the Standard was undertaken in accordance with RISSB's accredited process. As part of the approval process, the Standing Committee verified that proper process was followed in developing the Standard.

RISSB wishes to acknowledge the positive contribution of subject matter experts in the development of this Standard. Their efforts ranged from membership of the Development Group through to individuals providing comments on a draft of the Standard during the open review.

I commend this Standard to the Australasian rail industry as it represents industry good practice and has been developed through a rigorous process.

Damien White Chief Executive Officer Rail Industry Safety and Standards Board

Keeping Standards up-to-date

Australian Standards developed by RISSB are living documents that reflect progress in science, technology and systems. To maintain their currency, Australian Standards developed by RISSB are periodically reviewed, and new editions published when required. Between editions, amendments may be issued. Australian Standards developed by RISSB could also be withdrawn.

It is important that readers assure themselves they are using a current Australian Standard developed by RISSB, which should include any amendments that have been issued since the Standard was published. Information about Australian Standards developed by RISSB, including amendments, can be found by visiting www.rissb.com.au_

RISSB welcomes suggestions for improvements and asks readers to notify us immediately of any apparent inaccuracies or ambiguities. Members are encouraged to use the change request feature of the RISSB website at: http://www.rissb.com.au/products/. Otherwise, please contact us via email at info@rissb.com.au or write to Rail Industry Safety and Standards Board, PO Box 518 Spring Hill Qld 4004, Australia.

Notice to users

This RISSB product has been developed using input from rail experts from across the rail industry and represents good practice for the industry. The reliance upon or manner of use of this RISSB product is the sole responsibility of the user who is to assess whether it meets their organization's operational environment and risk profile.



AS 7739-1:2022

Digital engineering for fixed rail infrastructure – Part 1: Concepts and principles

Document details

First published as: AS 7739.1:2022 Digital engineering for fixed rail infrastructure – Part 1: Concepts and principles). ISBN 978-1-76113-957-4

Document history

| Publication Version | Effective Date | Reason for and Extent of Change(s) |
|---------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| 2023 | 30 January 2023 | |

Approval

| Name | Date |
|--|------------|
| Rail Industry Safety and Standards Board | 30/01/2023 |

Copyright

© RISSB

All rights are reserved. No part of this work can be reproduced or copied in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, without the written permission of RISSB, unless otherwise permitted under the Copyright Act 1968.



Part 1: Concepts and principles

This Standard was prepared by the Rail Industry Safety and Standards Board (RISSB) Development Group AS 7739 Digital engineering for rail – Part 1: Concepts and principles. Membership of this Development Group consisted of representatives from the organizations listed on the inside cover of this document.

Objective

The objective of this Standard is to:

- build on current developments and progress with digital engineering (DE);
- combine globally leading practice;
- define contemporary best practice;
- specify building blocks for national consistency;
- reduce complexity for both asset owners and rail industry suppliers;
- provide a method for creating and classifying information relating to rail assets in a consistent manner; and
- simplify the mapping of asset information by providing a consistent and repeatable information delivery method.

This Standard is Part 1 of the AS 7739 Digital engineering for fixed rail infrastructure series.

• Part 1: Concepts and Principles

AS 7739 Part 1 provides DE guidance that introduces and defines key concepts and principles for the ANZ rail industry. This guide provides detailed information on how to build data management capability and the overarching digital framework required for successful DE project implementation.

It is not intended to be directly referenced in project procurement contracts, as it does not provide the appropriate level of detail necessary to adequately specify DE project deliverables.

• Part 2: Technical Requirements

AS 7739 Part 2 provides detailed technical requirements (including specifications and procedures) for the procurement and management of DE project deliverables.

Compliance

There are four types of provisions contained within Australian Standards developed by RISSB:

- 1. Requirements.
- 2. Recommendations.
- 3. Permissions.
- 4. Constraints.

Requirements – it is mandatory to follow all requirements to claim full compliance with the Standard. Requirements are identified within the text by the term 'shall'.

Recommendations – do not mention or exclude other possibilities but do offer the one that is preferred. Recommendations are identified within the text by the term 'should'.

Recommendations recognize that there could be limitations to the universal application of the control, i.e. the identified control is not able to be applied or other controls are more appropriate or better.

Permissions – conveys consent by providing an allowable option. Permissions are identified within the text by the term 'may'.

Constraints - provided by an external source such as legislation. Constraints are identified within the text by the term 'must'.

For compliance purposes, where a recommended control is not applied as written in the standard it could be incumbent on the adopter of the standard to demonstrate their actual method of controlling the risk as part of their WHS or Rail Safety National Law obligations. Similarly, it could also be incumbent on an adopter of the standard to demonstrate their method of controlling the risk to contracting entities, or interfacing organizations where the risk may be shared.



Digital engineering for fixed rail infrastructure Part 1: Concepts and principles

Contents

| 1 | Scope and general | | 6 |
|---|-------------------|--|----|
| | 1.1 | Introduction | 6 |
| | 1.2 | Scope | 11 |
| | 1.3 | Exclusions | 11 |
| | 1.4 | Structure of this Standard | 12 |
| | 1.5 | Normative references | 18 |
| | 1.6 | Terms and definitions | 18 |
| | 1.7 | Abbreviations | 22 |
| 2 | Digital e | engineering concepts | 24 |
| | 2.1 | Background | 24 |
| | 2.2 | Thinking beyond BIM | 26 |
| | 2.3 | What is digital engineering? | 28 |
| | 2.4 | Principles for digital engineering | 29 |
| | 2.5 | How does digital engineering work? | 31 |
| | 2.6 | Data management | 32 |
| | 2.7 | Thinking beyond the common data environment | 34 |
| | 2.8 | The future with structured data | 37 |
| 3 | Metada | ta to support DE | 40 |
| | 3.1 | Introduction | 40 |
| | 3.2 | Key concepts for enabling semantic interoperability | 41 |
| | 3.3 | Types of classification | 44 |
| | 3.4 | Characteristics of effective classification | 46 |
| | 3.5 | Introduction to ISO 12006.2-2015 – Framework for classification | 47 |
| | 3.6 | Comparison of current classification standards against ISO 12006.2 | 51 |
| | 3.7 | Uniclass classification standard | 51 |
| | 3.8 | Benefits of Uniclass | 55 |
| | 3.9 | Structure of Uniclass coding | 55 |
| | 3.10 | Uniclass – revised ontology to support DE | 57 |
| | 3.11 | Example case-study using this flexible ontology | 60 |
| 4 | Data mo | odelling to support DE | 62 |
| | 4.1 | Introduction to data architecture | 62 |
| | 4.2 | Data management concepts | 62 |
| | 4.3 | Database capabilities | 63 |
| | 4.4 | Comparison of SQL vs NoSQL databases | 64 |
| | 4.5 | Data models and databases | 64 |
| | 4.6 | Data modelling | 65 |
| 5 | DE Bus | iness Setup | 68 |
| | 5.1 | Introduction | 68 |
| | 5.2 | Data modelling conventions to support DE | 68 |
| | 5.3 | Common data model | 69 |
| 6 | DE proj | ect planning | 71 |



Digital engineering for fixed rail infrastructure

Part 1: Concepts and principles

| | 6.1 | Introduction | 71 |
|---|---------|--|----|
| | 6.2 | Scoping rail infrastructure projects | 71 |
| | 6.3 | Project datasets to support rail infrastructure sector | 74 |
| | 6.4 | Project data models | 75 |
| 7 | DE proj | ect delivery | 77 |
| | 7.1 | Introduction | 77 |
| | 7.2 | Project procurement | 77 |
| | 7.3 | Digital project deliverables | 81 |
| | 7.4 | Structured datasets | 82 |
| 8 | How to | get started | 84 |
| | 8.1 | Digital maturity and data management | 84 |
| | 8.2 | New roles | 84 |
| | 8.3 | Digital transformation - high level steps | 85 |

Appendix Contents

| Appendix A | Benefits of digital engineering | 88 |
|------------|--|-----|
| Appendix B | Overview of ISO 19650 | 90 |
| B.1 | Introduction | 90 |
| B.2 | Concept of information models | 90 |
| B.3 | Project information model | 91 |
| B.4 | Asset information model | 91 |
| B.5 | Information requirements | 92 |
| B.6 | Information management over complete lifecycle | 92 |
| B.7 | Information management during project delivery | 93 |
| B.8 | Legacy of ISO 19650 standards | 95 |
| B.9 | Limitations of ISO 19650 | 96 |
| Appendix C | Examples of Uniclass usage in projects | |
| C.1 | Uniclass examples | |
| C.2 | Heavy rail track components | |
| C.3 | Light rail ticket vending machines | |
| C.4 | Motorway kerbs and pavements | 102 |
| C.5 | Building – internal fittings | 104 |
| Appendix D | Bibliography | 107 |



1 Scope and general

1.1 Introduction

1.1.1 Preface

Digital engineering (DE) is a term that is used globally and has been adopted by both asset owners and delivery partners alike. Given it is a relatively new term used to describe business processes that are relatively advanced yet also conceptual, there currently exists a wide range of interpretations and expectations about DE.

Like all technological change, DE will continue to grow and evolve rapidly as more organizations adopt digital processes and develop new innovations with DE. This Standard aims to provide guidance and instruction on best-practice DE, based on leading global initiatives.

Given the current diversity in thinking around DE, it is important to clarify a few key points regarding this Standard:

- (a) DE is an entirely new way of working that represents a convergence of master data management, business process optimization and emerging technologies,
- (b) DE represents a completely new approach to data management in the rail sector,
- (c) DE should not be considered as an incremental change, or basic optimization to existing ways of working, and
- (d) DE should not be used interchangeably to describe BIM and general information management.

This Standard aims to increase general awareness and technical understanding of DE, however the target audience is intentionally asset owner organizations due to their central role in the overall rail industry (see figure 1.1).



Figure 1.1: Asset owners and the supply chain